UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,516	02/27/2004	Fenghua Zhou	US3819	6897
25859 WEI TE CHUN	7590 07/11/200 <b>IG</b>	8	EXAMINER	
FOXCONN IN	TERNATIONAL, INC	•	WONG, ERIC TAK WAI	
1650 MEMORI SANTA CLAR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,516	ZHOU, FENGHUA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC T. WONG	3693		

	ENIC I. WONG	3093	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		ha iaawaa fan
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially re-	auding or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allown-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/James A. Kramer/	/ERIC T. WONG/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693	Examiner, Art Unit 3693		
	•		

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive because of the reasons given below.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching in applicant admission of prior art within the specification of determining whether a declaration is acceptable. Paragraph 4 of the specification discusses the traditional auditing procedure known in the art. According to the specification, part of this traditional auditing procedue includes the customs authority agreeing on ending a case after it has audited the supporting documentation. Examiner asserts that the process of auditing is to verify that records or accounts are acceptable. Therefore, applicant admission of prior art does indeed include determining whether a declaration is acceptable. Even if applicant admission of prior art does not disclose the aforementioned feature, Examiner asserts that it is old and well known in the art to determine whether a customs declaration is acceptable.

Applicant further argues that Pratt cannot balance the two amounts of physical and book inventory. At the same time, Applicant admits that Pratt teaches determining the difference between amounts of book and physical inventory. One definition of balancing is to add up the two sides of an account and determine the difference (see dictionary.com). Thus, Examiner asserts that determining the difference between book and physical inventory is in fact balancing. Applicant further argues that Pratt fails to teach the feature of "providing an explanation report on balancing of book inventory and physical inventory". Pratt teaches determining and reporting the difference between the two amounts of book and physical inventory, and that report is, in and of itself, an explanation report. Applicant may intend the explanation report to explain the causes of the difference between the book and physical inventories. Even so, Pratt teaches ascertaining the reasons as to why such a difference exists. To automate such task would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention (see In re Venner, 120 USPQ 192, 194; 262 F2d 91 (CCPA 1958)).

Applicant further argues that there is no teaching or suggestion in Pratt regarding the claimed feature "declarations, electronic account books, return receipts and information on a customs bulletin board." Examiner notes that the parts of the system which are recited in the claims are defined by functional language, ie. a data inquiry module for... Therefore, the language of the claims is given weight to the extent that the prior art is or is not capable of meeting the functional limitations. see In Re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997). As discussed above, Pratt teaches balancing of book inventory and physical inventory. Pratt surely teaches electronic account books since it balances electronic book and physical inventory. Further, Applicant admission of prior art teaches declarations and return receipts (see paragraph 4 and response to the argument above regarding whether or not Applicant admission of prior art teaches these features). Lastly, the proposed combination is configured to retrieve data from a customs bulletin board since it includes the ability for electronic data interchange (EDI).